

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. by 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usptogov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,581	03/25/2004	Michael Karl Gschwind	AUS920030719US1 7129	
45327 IBM CORPOI	7590 05/14/2007 RATION (CS)		EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION (CS) C/O CARR LLP			GU, SHAWN X	
670 FOUNDE 900 JACKSOI	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	DALLAS, TX 75202		2189	
		•	MAII DATE	DOLINEDY MODE
	ŕ		MAIL DATE 05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,581	GSCHWIND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shawn X. Gu	2189				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.   nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ap	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 April 2007</u> .					
·—						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-50 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

This Office action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination filed
 April 2007. Claims 31-50 are pending. Claims 1-30 have been cancelled. All objections and rejections not repeated below are withdrawn.

### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 6, last paragraph of the Specification mailed on 18 April 2007, "Page X, line X" should be corrected with the appropriate page and line numbers.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

3. Claims 32 and 33 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 32 should be dependent on claim 31 instead of the cancelled claim 21.

All dependent claims are objected to as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,581 Page 3

Art Unit: 2189

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 46 recites the limitation "tangible computer readable medium". The term "tangible" is not defined by the Applicant's disclosure and renders the claims' metes and bounds unclear, since it is unclear to the Examiner what exactly constitutes a "tangible" medium. It would be more appropriate to remove "tangible" from the claim limitations.

All dependent claims are rejected as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from. Appropriate correction is required.

### Double Patenting

6. Claims 28 and 30-48 of U.S. Patent Application 10/809,579 contain every element of claims 31-50 of the instant application and as such provisionally anticipate claims 31-50 of the instant application.

"A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. <u>In re Longi</u>, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); <u>In re Berg</u>, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is

Application/Control Number: 10/809,581 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn Gu whose telephone number is (571) 272-0703. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shawn X Gu

Assistant Examiner

Art Unit 2189

REGINALD BRAGDON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Keginal D. Bruyten